ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

BETWEEN:

KSV KOFMAN INC., IN ITS CAPACITY AS RECEIVER AND MANAGER OF CERTAIN PROPERTY OF SCOLLARD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, MEMORY CARE INVESTMENTS (KITCHENER) LTD., MEMORY CARE INVESTMENTS (OAKVILLE) LTD., 1703858 ONTARIO INC., LEGACY LANE INVESTMENTS LTD., TEXTBOOK (525 PRINCESS STREET) INC. AND TEXTBOOK (555 PRINCESS STREET) INC.

Plaintiff

- and -

AEOLIAN INVESTMENTS LTD., JOHN DAVIES IN HIS PERSONAL CAPACITY AND IN HIS CAPACITY AS TRUSTEE OF BOTH THE DAVIES ARIZONA TRUST AND THE DAVIES FAMILY TRUST, JUDITH DAVIES IN HER PERSONAL CAPACITY AND IN HER CAPACITY AS TRUSTEE OF THE DAVIES FAMILY TRUST, AND GREGORY HARRIS SOLELY IN HIS CAPACITY AS TRUSTEE OF THE DAVIES FAMILY TRUST

Defendants

COSTS SUBMISSIONS OF THE PLAINTIFF

November 1, 2017

BENNETT JONES LLP

3400 One First Canadian Place P.O. Box 130

Toronto ON M5X 1A4

Sean Zweig (LSUC#57307I)

Phone: (416) 777-6254

Email: zweigs@bennettjones.com

Jonathan Bell (LSUC#55457P)

Phone: (416) 777-6511

Email: bellj@bennettjones.com

Facsimile: (416) 863-1716

Lawyers for the Plaintiff

TO: DENTONS CANADA LLP

77 King Street West, Suite 400

TD Centre

Toronto, ON M5K 0A1

Kenneth Kraft (LSUC#31919P)

Phone: (416) 863-4374

Email: kenneth.kraft@dentons.com

Michael Beeforth (LSUC#58824P)

Phone: (416) 367-6779

Email: michael.beeforth@dentons.com

Facsimile: (416) 863-4592

Lawyers for the Defendants,

John Davies, Judith Davies and Aeolian Investments Ltd.

AND TO: GREGORY HARRIS

2355 Skymark Avenue, Suite 300 Mississauga, ON L4W 4Y6

Defendant

- 1. The Receiver seeks an order awarding it costs of the motion on a partial indemnity basis in the amount of \$2,319.04, inclusive of HST and disbursements, which is considerably less than the total value of time spent dealing with this matter. All of the fees were necessary and appropriate for the proper preparation of the motion, which contributed to the successful result achieved by the Receiver.
- 2. The Receiver incurred the following fees in connection with the motion for which it is seeking costs:

Legal Fees					
Fee Item	Lawyer	Hours	Full Rate	Partial Indemnity Rate (60%)	Total Partial Indemnity Costs
Reviewing motion materials; Researching discrete legal issues	J. Blinick	1.5	\$500	\$300	\$450
Preparing for and attending motion	J. Bell	4.0	\$660	\$396	\$1,584
Pre-Tax Total					\$2,034
HST @ 13%					\$264.42
TOTAL FEES					\$2,298.42
Disbursements					
Disbursement Item					Amount
Printing/Copying					\$18.25
Pre-Tax Total					\$18.25
HST @ 13%					\$2.37
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS					\$20.62
TOTAL FEES AND DISBURSEMENTS					\$2,319.04

3. The Receiver is not seeking its costs for all of the fees incurred by Mr. Bell and Mr. Blinick. The Receiver is also not seeking any costs for the fees incurred by the insolvency lawyer, Sean Zweig. Mr. Zweig's hourly rate is \$680 and he spent approximately 5 hours dealing with the issues on the motion.

- 2 -

4. This court has broad discretion in awarding costs. Successful parties, absent misconduct, are

generally entitled to their costs. The court will consider the factors in rule 57.01(1) in exercising its

discretion to fix an appropriate award. These factors strongly support the award sought by the

Receiver:

(i) the Receiver was entirely successful on the motion;

(ii) the matter was of considerable importance to the parties;

(iii) the defendants listed and purported to sell the house in the face of a Mareva subject to a condition that they obtain court-approval of the sale. The defendants then brought

their motion for court-approval on an urgent basis when there was no need to do so. The agreement of purchase and sale was signed on September 30, 2017 (nearly a month before the defendants' served their motion) and the sale is not set to close until

January 17, 2018 (over 2 months from now). Although the agreement of purchase and sale contained a conditional deadline of October 24, 2017 to obtain court-approval of

the sale, this deadline was self-imposed and, in any event, the motion could have been brought well before the defendants did so; and

(iv) the costs sought are what, or less than what, the defendants could have reasonably

expected to pay if unsuccessful on the motion.

5. The Ontario Court of Appeal has endorsed the 60% rule as an appropriate basis for calculating

partial indemnity rates. The rule is widely accepted in Ontario as a reasonable measure of fees.

6. Given all the circumstances, the partial indemnity costs sought by the Receiver are fair and

reasonable. Accordingly, the Receiver requests that it be awarded its costs in the all-inclusive amount

of \$2,319.04, to be paid by Mr. and Ms. Davies, jointly and severally, within 30 days.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of November, 2017.

BENNETT JONES LLI

¹ Inter-Leasing, Inc. v. Ontario (Revenue), 2014 ONCA 683

.

Defendants

Court File No: CV-17-11822-00CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT TORONTO

COSTS SUBMISSIONS OF THE PLAINTIFF

BENNETT JONES LLP

3400 One First Canadian Place P.O. Box 130 Toronto ON M5X 1A4

Sean Zweig (LSUC#57307I)

Phone: (416) 777-6254

Email: zweigs@bennettjones.com

Jonathan Bell (LSUC#55457P)

Phone: (416) 777-6511

Email: bellj@bennettjones.com

Facsimile: (416) 863-1716

Lawyers for the Plaintiff