

**FILE/DIRECTION/ORDER**

BEFORE JUDGE MYERS

ACTION # CV-17-11822-CL

KSV KOFMAN INC. et al  
Plaintiff(s)

.v.

AEOLIAN INVT. LTD et al.  
Defendant(s)

CASE MANAGEMENT: YES [ ] NO [ ]

COUNSEL: \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ PHONE NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ PHONE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

[ ] ORDER [ ] DIRECTION FOR REGISTRAR  
[ ] REPORTED SETTLED ADJOURNED TO TRIAL SCHEDULING COURT \_\_\_\_\_  
[ ] NO ONE APPEARED ADJOURNED TO TO BE SPOKEN TO COURT \_\_\_\_\_

THE DS OBJECT TO MY ENDORSEMENT AWARDED IN 6  
SUBSTANTIAL INDEMNITY COSTS TO TS BEFORE  
THEY HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SUBMISSIONS.  
THE COSTS AWARDS IS SET ASIDE.  
I HAVE THE DS' SUBMISSIONS NOW ON THE  
MERITS. THEY ARGUE THAT COSTS SHOULD BE  
IN THE CASE TO AVOID ENDING THE LITIGATION.

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE \_\_\_\_\_

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER - page \_\_\_ of \_\_\_

Court File Number: \_\_\_\_\_

MR. DAVIES' EVIDENCE IS THAT HE HAS NO ASSETS TO FUND AN ORDER FOR COSTS, BUT THAT'S THE WHOLE POINT OF THE LITIGATION. THE ALLEGES THAT HE IMPROPERLY DEALT WITH INVESTOR FUNDS TO ENRICH HIMSELF, HIS FAMILY, THEIR TRUSTS, AND OTHERS RATHER THAN BUILDING BUILDINGS. ALTHOUGH HE DISPUTES THE MERITS, I FOUND THAT HIS OWN DESCRIPTION OF HIS BUSINESS MODEL WAS SKIN TO A PONZI SCHEME. I FOUND THAT THERE WAS A REAL RISK OF ~~ASSETS~~ DISSIPATION OF ASSETS GIVEN THAT HE & MRS. DAVIES HAVE SOLD THEIR COTTAGE AND TRIED TO SELL THEIR HOUSE IN FACE OF THE MAREVA INJUNCTION. THE FACTS THAT CORPORATE FUNDS MAY NOW BE IN A PROPERTY IN AZ. IN THE NAME OF A TRUST AND THAT MR DAVIES IS SO FORTUNOUS TO HAVE A WILLING LEADER - WHO ADVANCES FUNDS TO HIM DESPITE HIS

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER - page \_\_\_ of \_\_\_

Court File Number: \_\_\_\_\_

ALTHOUGH LACK OF ASSETS - AND THAT THE LENDER IS NOT A BANK OR A FINANCIAL PLAYER BUT JUST HAPPENS TO BE THE ARCHITECT OF NON-BUILT BUILDINGS - WAS MORE THAN SUSPICIOUS.

MR DAVIES ARGUES THAT HE HAS COMMITTED NO REPREHENSIBLE CONDUCT TO ATTRACT A PUNITIVE LEVEL OF COSTS. I DO NOT AGREE. THE GUIDANCE

AGAINST HIM RAISES A STRONG CASE THAT ~~HE~~ HIS DEFENCE IS A SHAM AND HIS BEHAVIOUR INDEED IS SCANDALOUS. IN FACT THERE IS A PUBLIC SCANDAL THAT HAS RECEIVED NOTARIETY.

I DO NOT ACCEPT THAT MR DAVIES DOES NOT HAVE ACCESS TO FUNDS TO PAY A COSTS AWARD. HE HAS NOT SOUGHT TO LIFT THE MAREVA TO FUND LEGAL OR LIVING EXPENSES DESPITE SEVERAL MONTHS UNDER ITS TERMS. HIS ABILITY TO BORROW AND PAY LIVING AND

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER - page \_\_\_ of \_\_\_

Court File Number: \_\_\_\_\_

LEGAL EXPENSES APPEARS TO RELIE COUNSEL'S SUBMISSION.

I DO NOT SEE THIS AS A CLOSE CALL. THE THRAUST OF DS' SUBMISSIONS IS TO RE-ASSERT EVIDENCE THAT I REJECTED ON THE MERITS ALREADY.

I HAVE APPROACHED THE MATTER ANEW BUT FIND MYSELF REACHING THE SAME CONCLUSION BASED ON (a) MY FINDINGS ON THE MOTION; (b) CONSIDERING DS' SUBMISSIONS; AND (c) CONSIDERING S. 131 CJA, R. 57.01, AND ROUCHER CONSIDERATIONS - ESPECIALLY ACCESS TO JUSTICE FOR ALL INTERESTED PARTIES.

THE TT RELIES AS WELL ON MR DAVES' EFFORTS TO DENY LIABILITY INCLUDING PRODUCING NEW VERSIONS OF FINANCIAL RECORDS THAT DIFFER FROM CONTEMPORANEOUS RECORDS AS AN INDICATION

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER - page \_\_\_ of \_\_\_

Court File Number: \_\_\_\_\_

THAT HIS RESPONSE TO THE MOTION UNREASONABLY  
RAISED COSTS AND LENGTHENED THE LITIGATION.  
IT POINTS <sup>OUT</sup> AS WELL THAT IT HAS CALCULATED  
ITS COSTS AT 50% RATHER THAN 90%  
OF FULL FEES AS IS ALLOWED FOR SUBSTANTIAL  
INDEMNITY CLAIMS. THE AWARD IS THEREFORE A  
HYBRID RATHER THAN A MAXIMUM. FINALLY  
I ~~BE~~ ACCEPT THAT THE RECEIVER / IT HAD TO  
PERFORM VERY SUBSTANTIAL EFFORT TO  
UNRUMBLE THE FINANCIAL WEB WOVEN BY  
MR DAVIES IN THE BORROWER CORPORATIONS  
AND THEIR DEALINGS WITH THE Ls. IN THE  
CIRCUMSTANCES COSTS ~~WAS~~ CLAIMED OF  
\$61,782.82 all-in ~~ARE~~ ARE FAIR AND REASONABLE.  
COSTS OF \$61,782.82 ARE PAYABLE BY  
JOHN DAVIES, JUDITH DAVIES, AND AEOLIAN  
INVESTMENTS LTD JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY TO  
THE TT FIXED AT \$61,782.82 ALL-IN PAYABLE  
WITHIN 30 DAYS. UNLIKE MY PRIOR ORDER, NO COSTS  
ARE AWARDED AGAINST THE OTHER Ls.

DATE: Oct 3/17

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

