



SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

COUNSEL SLIP/ ENDORSEMENT FORM

COURT FILE NO.: CV-23-00700695-00CL **DATE:** 4 April 2025

NO. ON LIST: 1

TITLE OF PROCEEDING: MARSHALLZEHR GROUP INC. v LA PUE INTERNATIONAL INC. et al

BEFORE: MADAM JUSTICE STEELE

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

For Plaintiff, Applicant, Moving Party, Crown:

| Name of Person Appearing | Name of Party | Contact Info |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Maya Poliak (not appearing) | Lawyers for MarshallZehr Group Inc. | Maya@chaitons.com |
| | | |

For Defendant, Respondent, Responding Party, Defence, Receiver :


| Name of Person Appearing | Name of Party | Contact Info |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Miranda Spence | Lawyers for the Receiver, KSV | mspence@airdberlis.com |
| Brian Chung | | bchung@airdberlis.com |
| Murtaza Tallat | Receiver, KSV | mtallat@ksvadvisory.com |
| Fabiola Bassong | Lawyer for Kada Group Inc | fbassong@weirfoulds.com |
| Andrew Beney | Lawyer for HC Matcon Inc, Lien Claimant | beney@paveylaw.com |
| Fernando Souza | Lawyer for Buttcon Limited, Lien Claimant | fsouza@lawtoronto.com |
| Maria Ruberto | Lawyer for Astro Excavating Inc, Lien Claimant | mruberto@pallettvalo.com |

For Other, Self-Represented:

| Name of Person Appearing | Name of Party | Contact Info |
|--------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | |
| | | |

ENDORSEMENT OF JUSTICE STEELE:

- [1] Case conference held on April 4, 2025, via Zoom.
- [2] Further the appearance before me on March 7, 2025, the Receiver produced its Eighth Report.
- [3] Buttcon Limited's case conference brief, filed yesterday, raised the issue of whether the Receiver continues to be necessary because the priority dispute is between MarshallZehr Group Inc. and the lien claimants. Buttcon suggests that these issues may be addressed in a reference before an Associate Justice in Toronto.
- [4] Lien claimants, Astro Excavating and HC Matcon, agree with the position put forward by Buttcon.
- [5] The Receiver requested a short adjournment to consider Buttcon's position that the Receiver is no longer necessary. No one objected to the request for a short adjournment.
- [6] The parties were instructed to have discussions on the holdback issue. Buttcon has concerns regarding the Receiver's calculation of the holdback. Buttcon wants to ensure that distributions are not made to MarshallZehr such that the holdback is insufficient.
- [7] Case conference adjourned to **April 15, 2025, at noon (30 minutes)** before me, subject to my availability on the Commercial List.



Justice Steele

DATE: 4 April 2025