

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

# **COUNSEL/ENDORSEMENT SLIP**

COURT FILE NO.: CV-25-00740869-00CL DATE: December 5, 2025

NO. ON LIST: 1

TITLE OF PROCEEDING: LONDON VALLEY IV INC. BY ITS COURT-APPOINTED

RECEIVER AND MANAGER, KSV RESTRUCTURING INC. v.

**RANDY HOFFNER** 

BEFORE: JUSTICE W. BLACK

#### PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

#### For Plaintiff, Applicant, Moving Party:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Mark van Zandvoort	Counsel to the Plaintiff	mvanzandvoort@airdberlis.com
Calvin Horsten		chorsten@airdberlis.com

## For Defendant, Respondent, Responding Party:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Andrei Dobrogeanu	Counsel to the Defendant	adobrogeanu@rossmcbride.com

## For Other, Self-Represented:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
David Sieradzki	KSV Restructuring, Receiver	dsieradzki@ksvadvisory.com
Jordan Wong		jwong@ksvadvisory.com

#### **ENDORSEMENT**

[1] The plaintiff asks at this case conference that the court impose the agreed discovery plan in this matter, with amendments to reflect the current status of the matter and the defendant's failure to comply with the discovery plan to which he agreed.

- [2] In terms of the amendments, the plaintiff asks that:
  - (a) The defendant serve his affidavit of documents by no later than December 12, 2025; and
  - (b) The parties shall attend for examinations for discovery on agreed dates by no later than January 23, 2026 (and that by December 8, 2025 the defendant shall advise the plaintiff of his available dates to be examined).
- [3] The plaintiff asks that the dates for the defendant's affidavit of documents and the date by which attendance at discoveries is to occur each be marked peremptory against the defendant.
- [4] I agree that the agreed discovery plan should be imposed on the defendant with these amendments; the defendant has so far failed to comply with his obligations under the agreed plan.
- [5] In addition, counsel for the defendant attended at today's case conference, and took no issue with the relief sought by the plaintiff.
- [6] Accordingly, I confirm that the discovery plan, as revised, is imposed, and that the dates noted above in paragraphs 2 and 3 are peremptory against the defendant.

W.D. BLACK J.

DATE RELEASED: December 9, 2025