Court File No.: CV-25-00746939-00CL

## ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

BETWEEN:

### NATIONAL BANK OF CANADA

**Applicant** 

- and -

#### AXIOM REAL-TIME METRICS INC.

Respondent

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 243(1) OF THE BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, AS AMENDED, AND SECTION 101 OF THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O. 1990, c. C43, AS AMENDED

### COST SUBMISSIONS OF THE RECEIVER

- 1. KSV Restructuring Inc. ("KSV"), in its capacity as Court-appointed receiver and manager (the "Receiver") of the undertaking, property and assets of 2075508 Ontario Inc. (formerly Axiom Real-Time Metrics Inc.) (the "Company"), seeks costs against Andrew Schachter on a full indemnity scale in connection with his opposition to the Receiver's motion originally returnable on Friday, July 11, 2025, adjourned by Justice Kimmel to Tuesday, July 15, 2025, seeking approval of the Sitero Transaction<sup>1</sup>, as follows:
  - Chaitons LLP, as counsel to the Receiver \$25,775.30 (including HST)<sup>2</sup>
  - Receiver's time and disbursements \$43,629.30 (including HST)<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meaning defined in the Aide Memoire of the Receiver dated July 14, 2025, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Appendix A**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See summary of Chaiton LLP's dockets attached hereto as **Appendix B**. The Receiver seeks a cost award on a full indemnity basis in the amount of \$25,775.30, or alternatively, on a substantial indemnity basis in the amount of \$23.197.77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See summary of Receiver's dockets attached hereto as **Appendix C**.

### Mr. Schachter's Opposition to the Sale Approval Motion

- 2. The Receiver relies on the facts and submissions contained in its Aide Memoire.
- 3. As a result of Mr. Schachter's late-stage opposition and alternative bid, which was raised only hours before the original hearing date, Justice Kimmel directed, among other things, that:
  - (a) Mr. Schachter shall have 24 hours to present the further updated detailed terms of the Alternative Transaction and financing commitment(s) for both the Alternative Transaction and for any interim period to cover the weekly cash flow requirements of the Company if the closing were to take place after the week of July 14, 2025;
  - (b) the Receiver shall investigate with SSG the involvement of or engagement with Mr. Schachter or parties affiliated with him in the context of the Sale Process;
  - (c) Sitero will consider whether it is willing to extend the irrevocability deadline in its offer to July 15, 2025. If Sitero is prepared to continue to pursue the Sitero Transaction, the Receiver and the Company shall work with Sitero to attend to any remaining closing matters so that it can close on or shortly after that day, if it is approved; and
  - (d) the Receiver shall provide a further supplementary report to the court by 5:30 p.m. on Monday, July 14, 2025.
- 4. Between July 11 and 15, 2025, the Receiver (among other things) engaged extensively with: (a) SSG to investigate Mr. Schachter's allegations regarding the Sale Process; (b) counsel for Mr. Schachter to assess the viability of Mr. Schachter's bid and related issues, including available funding to be arranged by Mr. Schachter to avoid a shutdown of operations if his bid were to be accepted and close after the week of July 14, 2025; and (c) Sitero to negotiate an extension of the irrevocability deadline in its offer in order to avoid losing the benefit of that transaction, which the Receiver had recommended to the Court for approval for the reasons as summarized in its Aide Memoire. The Receiver also conducted a detailed comparison of the Sitero Transaction and the Alternative Transaction, including due diligence on Agenus, Inc., a public company that guaranteed certain obligations under Mr. Schacter's bid. Contemporaneously, the Receiver drafted its First Report to the Court dealing with the matters directed by Justice Kimmel on the afternoon of July 14, 2025. Counsel for the Receiver also delivered an Aide Memoire on July 14, 2025 to update the Court on these issues and provide the Receiver's position for the purpose of the hearing on July 15, 2025.
- 5. Following its diligence during the July 11 to 15 period, the Receiver concluded that there was no merit to the opposition by Mr. Schachter, which caused NBC, the Receiver and its counsel to needlessly incur costs, and absent that opposition, the Receiver's motion would have been granted on July 11, 2025. Among other things:
  - (a) contrary to Mr. Schachter's allegation that he had been excluded from participating in the Sale Process, the Receiver determined that:

- (i) Mr. Schachter learned at board meetings on June 4 and 6, 2025 that the Company was considering making an assignment in bankruptcy and that SSG was being retained by the Company to conduct the Sale Process; and
- (ii) notwithstanding that he was aware of the SSG process by no later than June 6, 2025, Mr. Schachter never contacted SSG to express an interest in acquiring the business; and
- (b) Mr. Schachter failed to even abide by his own commitments under his proposed transaction, as confirmed by Justice Cavanagh at paragraphs 14-15 of his Endorsement, where he held as follows:

14. At the hearing, counsel for Mr. Schachter advised the Court that her firm had not received money into its trust account for the \$500,000 amount to fund operations on a short term basis or the 10% deposit.

15. In the absence of these payments to the Loopstra trust account, there is no assurance that 1001 Ontario is able to fund the operations of the Company until closing or to complete the 1001 Ontario Transaction. This is fatal to any consideration of the merit of the Alternative Transaction in comparison with the Sitero Transaction.

### Scale and Scope of Costs to be Awarded

- 6. Cost awards on a full indemnity basis are warranted in situations involving sanction-worthy behaviour by the losing party.<sup>4</sup>
- 7. Substantial indemnity costs is the elevated scale of costs normally resorted to when the Court wishes to express its disapproval of the conduct of a party to the litigation. It follows that conduct worthy of sanction would have to be especially egregious to justify the highest scale of full indemnity costs.<sup>5</sup>
- 8. Apart from causing the Receiver and its counsel to needlessly incur costs, Mr. Schachter's conduct jeopardized the completion of the Sitero Transaction, being the only viable transaction for the sale of the Company's assets, and the preservation of the business as a going concern, including employment for approximately 35 employees.
- 9. The Receiver respectfully submits that in raising his objections on the eve of the Sale Approval Motion and jeopardizing the Sitero Transaction, which also jeopardized the preservation of the business as a going concern, Mr. Schachter's conduct rose to the level of egregiousness which justifies a cost award on the highest scale of full indemnity costs. Alternatively, the Receiver seeks a cost award on a substantial indemnity basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Davies v. Clarington (Municipality) et al., 2009 ONCA 722 at paras 28-29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Net Connect Installation Inc. v. Mobile Zone Inc., 2017 ONCA 766 at para 8.

- 10. Cost orders have been awarded to include time and disbursements incurred by court officers (above and beyond its legal costs) in various cases based on conduct by a party that caused the court officer to needlessly incur costs. Examples include:
  - (a) **Return on Innovation Capital Ltd. v. Gandi Innovations Ltd.** the Court held that the work done by the court-appointed monitor (and the associated fees) would not have been incurred but for the position taken by the unsuccessful litigant. The Court stated: "the cost of the work done by BDO was for and incidental to the motion and permissible in accordance with section 131 of the Courts of Justice Act"; 6
  - (b) Castillo v. Xela Enterprises Ltd. the Court exercised its discretion under s. 131 of the Courts of Justice Act to grant costs on a full indemnity basis to the court-appointed receiver, payable by the respondent, of the receiver's contempt motion. The Court noted that the receiver had to incur unnecessary time and expense to enforce compliance with the very order under which it was appointed, and it would be "manifestly unfair for the Applicant to have to bear the financial burden" of the respondent's breaches of the appointment order; <sup>7</sup>
  - (c) *Ernst & Young Inc. v. Aquino* the Court ordered the respondents to pay to the monitor and the trustee, on a substantial indemnity basis, their fees and those of their counsel incurred in the pursuit of certain applications;<sup>8</sup>
  - (d) *CAMT Speed-I-Com Inc. v. Pace Savings & Credit Union Ltd.* the Court ordered that the purchasers of certain assets of the debtor, who brought a motion to vary the Court's sale approval order but subsequently abandoned the sale transaction, pay costs on a substantial indemnity basis to the interim receiver of the debtor for its costs and those of its counsel:<sup>9</sup>
  - (e) **RII Holdings Inc. v. KPMG Inc.** a creditor who had initiated the assessment of fees of the interim receiver on the basis that the interim receiver had mismanaged the receivership was ordered to pay, on a substantial indemnity basis, the costs of the interim receiver and its legal counsel relating to the assessment hearing; <sup>10</sup> and
  - (f) **The Sanderson-Harold Company Limited et al** Justice Osborne ordered that a purchaser of assets pay the costs of the trustee and its counsel in connection with an injunction motion by the trustee against the purchaser following self-help remedies inappropriately taken by the purchaser. 11
- 11. For the reasons described above, the Receiver respectfully submits that Mr. Schachter's conduct justifies a cost award which includes the time and disbursements incurred by the Receiver in dealing with his opposition to the Sale Approval Motion, apart from its legal costs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 2011 ONSC 7465 at para 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 2022 ONSC 6696 at paras 12, 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 2021 ONSC 7514 at para 24 (unreported).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 2006 CanLII 1765 (ONSC) at para 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 2008 CanLII <u>16469 (ONSC)</u> at para 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Endorsement of Justice Osborne dated September 29, 2023 at paras 31, 34.

### ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 28th day of August, 2025

### **CHAITONS LLP**

5000 Yonge Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, ON M2N 7E9

### George Benchetrit (LSO #34163H)

Tel: (416) 218-1141

Email: george@chaitons.com

**Applicant** 

-and-

### AXIOM REAL-TIME METRICS INC.

Respondent

Court File No.: CV-25-00746939-00CL

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

Proceedings commenced at TORONTO

### COST SUBMISSIONS OF THE RECEIVER

### CHAITONS LLP

5000 Yonge Street, 10th Floor Toronto, ON M2N 7E9

### George Benchetrit (LSO #34163H)

Tel: (416) 218-1141

Email: george@chaitons.com

# TAB A

Court File No.: CV-25-00746939-00CL

## ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

BETWEEN:

#### NATIONAL BANK OF CANADA

**Applicant** 

- and -

#### AXIOM REAL-TIME METRICS INC.

Respondent

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 243(1) OF THE *BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, AS AMENDED, AND SECTION 101 OF THE *COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT*, R.S.O. 1990, c. C43, AS AMENDED

### AIDE MEMOIRE OF THE RECEIVER (Motion by the Receiver Returnable July 15, 2025)

1. This Aide Memoire is filed on behalf of KSV Restructuring Inc. ("KSV"), in its capacity as Court-appointed receiver and manager (the "Receiver") of the undertaking, property and assets of Axiom Real-Time Metrics Inc. (the "Company") in connection with its motion originally returnable on Friday July 11, 2025, adjourned by Justice Kimmel to Tuesday, July 15, 2025, and in support of the Receiver's ongoing recommendation for the approval of the Sitero Transaction (defined below). The Receiver continues to rely on its Factum dated July 7, 2025, including the case law cited therein, for the purpose of this motion.

### The Sale Approval Motion

- 2. On July 7, 2025, KSV, in its capacity as proposed receiver, delivered a motion record and factum for a motion returnable July 11, 2025 seeking approval of a sale transaction (the "Sitero Transaction") pursuant to an asset purchase agreement dated July 6, 2025 (the "Sitero APA") among the Receiver, Sitero Canada Inc. ("Sitero"), and Sitero LLC, as guarantor of certain payment obligations under the Sitero APA (the "Sale Approval Motion").
- 3. The Sitero Transaction resulted from a sale process (the "Sale Process") conducted by SSG Capital Advisors, LLC ("SSG"), an investment bank engaged by the Company. KSV, acting as advisor to National Bank of Canada ("NBC"), consulted with SSG throughout the Sale Process.

- 4. A summary of the Sitero APA and the Sitero Transaction is set out in the Proposed Receiver's Report dated July 7, 2025 (the "**Proposed Receiver's Report**"), which includes the Proposed Receiver's recommendation that the Sitero Transaction be approved for the following reasons:
  - (a) the Company and GPP made extensive efforts to raise capital. SSG, which has sector experience, participated in the Financing Transaction Process<sup>1</sup>, and when that failed, conducted the Sale Process in consultation with KSV;
  - (b) SSG sourced three sale opportunities and concluded that the Sitero Transaction maximizes recoveries and is the best available transaction. The Proposed Receiver does not believe that a superior transaction is likely to be completed if the Sale Process is continued, and, in any event, the Company lacks liquidity to continue it further;
  - (c) the Earn-Out is payable upon execution of each Performance Contract, not their performance, reducing collection risk. If the Sitero Transaction is not approved, the Pipeline Opportunities will have no value;
  - (d) the Sitero Transaction allows the Company's business to continue, which is anticipated to significantly preserve employment and avoid disruption to ongoing clinical trials;
  - (e) the liquidation value of the assets is immaterial. Other than cash, accounts receivable are the only liquid assets and are unlikely to yield net recoveries if operations are discontinued. The SR&ED Refunds are an excluded asset and are assumed to have the same value regardless of whether the Sitero Transaction is completed;
  - (f) NBC, which is expected to incur a significant shortfall, supports the Sitero Transaction;
  - (g) SSG advised the Proposed Receiver that:
    - (i) the Sitero Transaction maximizes value in the circumstances;
    - (ii) the Sitero Transaction is the best option; and
    - (iii) further time marketing is unlikely to produce a better offer.
  - (h) the "urgency issues" discussed in Section 7 of the Proposed Receiver's Report.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Proposed Receiver's Report, the Supplement to the Proposed Receiver's Report (the "Supplemental Report") and/or the First Report of the Receiver dated July 14, 2025 (the "First Report").

- 5. At 10:10 p.m. on July 10, 2025, Loopstra Nixon LLP ("Loopstra"), counsel to Andrew Schachter ("Schachter"), the Company's founder, served a term sheet offering to purchase the Assets (the "Alternative Transaction").
- 6. Prior to the Sale Approval Motion on July 11, 2025, the Proposed Receiver filed the Supplemental Report, which included a cash flow forecast for the period from June 30 to August 17, 2025 (the "Cash Flow Forecast"). The forecast projected a negative cash flow of approximately \$2.15 million over that period if the Company continued to operate in receivership and the Sitero Transaction was not approved.
- 7. Also prior to the Sale Approval Motion on July 11, 2025, Schachter filed an affidavit, among other things:
  - (a) advising of his opposition to the Sitero Transaction;
  - (b) stating that he was excluded from participating in the Sale Process; and
  - (c) requesting an adjournment to allow the Court the opportunity to consider the Alternative Transaction.
- 8. As set out in her Endorsement (the "**Endorsement**"), Justice Kimmel adjourned the Sale Approval Motion to 10:00 a.m. on July 15, 2025, on the following terms:
  - (a) Schachter was given 24 hours to submit updated detailed terms of the Alternative Transaction and related financing commitments, including interim funding if closing is to occur after the week of July 14, 2025;
  - (b) the Receiver was directed to consult with SSG regarding Schachter's involvement or lack thereof in the Sale Process and provide any relevant comments on the fairness of the process;
  - (c) Sitero was to consider extending the irrevocability of its offer to July 15, 2025, and, if willing to proceed, work with the Receiver and the Company to finalize any remaining closing matters; and
  - (d) the Receiver was to file a further supplementary report (i.e., the First Report) by 5:30 p.m. EDT on July 14, 2025.

### **The 1001 Ontario Transaction**

9. On July 13, 2025, Loopstra submitted a new offer package (the "Offer Package")<sup>2</sup> on behalf of 1001294310 Ontario Inc. ("1001 Ontario"), for the purchase of substantially all the Assets (the "1001 Ontario Transaction"), pursuant to an asset purchase agreement dated July 13, 2025 (the "1001 Ontario APA"), with 1001 Ontario, as purchaser, and Agenus Inc. ("Agenus"), as guarantor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schachter had delivered a substantially identical package on July 12, 2025.

10. On Sunday July 14, 2025, at approximately 10:40 a.m. EDT, Schachter filed a further affidavit.

### **Review by the Receiver**

- 11. In accordance with the Endorsement, on July 14, 2025, the Receiver filed the First Report, which:
  - (a) provides the Receiver's findings concerning the issues set out in the Endorsement;
  - (b) summarizes the Offer Package; and
  - (c) sets out the basis for the Receiver's continued recommendation that the Court issue the Vesting Order approving the Sitero Transaction.

### Receiver's Concerns Regarding the 1001 Ontario Transaction

- 12. Although the purchase price under the 1001 Ontario APA is potentially greater than that under the Sitero APA, the Receiver considers the 1001 Ontario Transaction to be inferior due to closing risk associated with its proposed August 15, 2025 closing date. In this regard:
  - (a) no evidence has been provided that 1001 Ontario has cash available to fund the purchase price due on closing (\$1.5 million), which amount is not guaranteed by Agenus;
  - (b) no evidence has been provided of 1001 Ontario's ability to pre-fund the full amount of cash required to operate the business to August 15, 2025. The Receiver requested two deposits: (i) \$500,000 to fund estimated operating costs to closing; and (ii) 15% of the cash purchase price payable on closing, both of which were expressly stated to be premised on a quick closing;
  - (c) the Receiver is concerned about the value of the Agenus guarantee (both in respect of the operational funding commitment and the Earn-Out Payments commitment), (as discussed below);
  - (d) the Receiver is also concerned about the Company's ability to continue to operate in receivership until August 15, 2025 for the following reasons:
    - (i) NBC had advised it is not prepared to fund the business beyond July 15, 2025, and therefore there is no certain source of funding for operations beyond that period;
    - (ii) the Receiver has concerns that the funding required to operate the business to August 15, 2025 will exceed the amount reflected in the Cash Flow Forecast given the book value of the accounts payable (approximately \$9.8 million), their aging (92% over 90 days), the relatively nominal payments to vendors included in the Cash Flow and other pressures on the business, including from customers whose studies are impacted by the receivership;

- (iii) the Company's CEO has advised the Receiver that he is not prepared to be involved with the business if 1001 Ontario is the successful bidder and several weeks are required to close the transaction;
- (iv) the CEO has advised the Receiver of concerns expressed to him by certain employees if 1001 Ontario is the successful bidder. Certain employees have expressed similar concerns to the Receiver; and
- (v) the business has been undercapitalized for several months, many clinical studies are delayed, and customer funds have been used for unintended purposes.

### Agenus Guarantee

- 13. To support its ability to meet its obligations under the 1001 Ontario Transaction, Agenus provided the Receiver with the Joint Letter, which includes: (i) Agenus's Form 8-K dated May 12, 2025, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, which discloses cash and cash equivalents of approximately US\$24.3 million as of March 31, 2025; (ii) a statement that Agenus has cash and cash equivalents of approximately US\$8.3 million as of the date of the Joint Letter; and (iii) a press release dated June 3, 2025 announcing the Zydus Transaction, which contemplates upfront cash consideration of US\$75 million payable to Agenus in August 2025, subject to customary closing conditions and satisfactory due diligence.
- 14. If in fact Agenus has cash and cash equivalents of approximately US\$8.3 million as of the date of the Joint Letter, its cash position has declined by US\$16 million between March 31, 2025 and that date. A review of Agenus's publicly available financial information for its last five fiscal years reflects similar negative cash flow trends.
- 15. Between January 1, 2020 and December 31, 2024, Agenus's net losses totaled approximately US\$932 million, and its negative EBITDA for the same period totals approximately US\$502 million. The Form 8-K discloses a net loss of US\$26.4 million for the three months ending March 31, 2024. As of December 31, 2024, Agenus had negative retained earnings of approximately US\$2.2 billion, reflecting a history of recurring losses.
- 16. The Receiver understands from its discussions with Loopstra that the 1001 Ontario Transaction cannot close until August 15, 2025 because the Zydus Transaction is not scheduled to close before that date. Although the 1001 Ontario Transaction is stated to be unconditional, it appears tied to the completion of the Zydus Transaction.

### Recommendations

- 17. Based on its diligence since the Sale Approval Motion and its concerns regarding a delayed closing, the Receiver continues to recommend that the Court grant the Vesting Order approving the Sitero Transaction for the following reasons:
  - (a) the reasons provided in Section 8 of the Proposed Receiver's Report;

- (b) the Sale Process was conducted in a fair, efficient, and proper manner, taking into account the Company's significant financial pressures and illiquidity;
- (c) Schachter took no steps to participate in the Sale Process, notwithstanding that he was aware of it from the date that SSG's engagement letter was signed. Schachter did not contact SSG to advise of his interest in acquiring the business or the Assets prior to submitting the 1001 Ontario offer. The 1001 Ontario offer was submitted after the transaction to approve the Sitero APA was served on the Service List, and is based on the Sitero APA;
- (d) approval of the Sitero Transaction preserves the integrity of the Sale Process;
- (e) although the Joint Letter calls for payment of the deposits requested by the Receiver, the Receiver was clear that the amounts of those deposits were premised on a timely closing. In any event, it is the Receiver's view that these deposits are not sufficient to compensate the Receiver if the 1001 Ontario Transaction fails to close;
- (f) NBC has advised that it will only fund operations to July 15, 2025. 1001 Ontario has not provided evidence that it has funding available to meet the Company's cash requirements in the Cash Flow Forecast, and the Receiver is concerned that the actual requirements may actually exceed the amounts forecasted;
- (g) despite being asked, no commitment has been made that 1001 Ontario is prepared to pre-fund operating costs and/or amounts payable in excess of those in the Cash Flow Forecast, if necessary. The Receiver is therefore of the view that 1001 Ontario has not adequately addressed the concerns regarding a timely closing raised by the Receiver during the Sale Approval Motion and identified by Justice Kimmel in paragraph 25(a) of the Endorsement;
- (h) 1001 Ontario has also not provided evidence that it can fund the purchase price due on closing (\$1.5 million);
- (i) the Receiver is unable to place any value on the Agenus guarantee at this time;
- (j) the Receiver has concerns regarding the ability of the Company to continue operating in receivership for the reasons noted in the First Report;
- (k) NBC has advised it supports the Receiver's recommendation. NBC is the only economic stakeholder with an interest in either transaction; and
- (1) Sitero is prepared to close the Sitero Transaction forthwith.

### ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 14th day of July, 2025

### **CHAITONS LLP**

5000 Yonge Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, ON M2N 7E9

### George Benchetrit (LSO #34163H)

Tel: (416) 218-1141

Email: george@chaitons.com

### **David Im (LSO #89765G)**

Tel: (416) 218-1124 Email: dim@chaitons.com

**Applicant** 

-and-

### AXIOM REAL-TIME METRICS INC.

Respondent

Court File No.: CV-25-00746939-00CL

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

Proceedings commenced at TORONTO

### AIDE MEMOIRE OF THE RECEIVER

### **CHAITONS LLP**

5000 Yonge Street, 10th Floor Toronto, ON M2N 7E9

### George Benchetrit (LSO #34163H)

Tel: (416) 218-1141

Email: george@chaitons.com

### **David Im (LSO #89765G)**

Tel: (416) 218-1124 Email: dim@chaitons.com

# TAB B

Court File No.: CV-25-00746939-00CL

### ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

### BETWEEN:

### NATIONAL BANK OF CANADA

**Applicant** 

- and -

### AXIOM REAL-TIME METRICS INC.

Respondent

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 243(1) OF THE BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, AS AMENDED, AND SECTION 101 OF THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O. 1990, c. C43, AS AMENDED

### SUMMARY OF DOCKETS

Chaitons LLP provides the following summary of its dockets between July 11 and 15, 2025 attributable to the opposition by Andrew Schachter to the Receiver's motion originally returnable on Friday July 11, 2025, adjourned by Justice Kimmel to Tuesday, July 15, 2025.

	Partial Indemnity	<b>Substantial Indemnity</b>	Full Indemnity	
Fees (detailed below)	\$13,686.00 + \$1,779.18 (HST)	\$20,529.00 + \$2,668.77 (HST)	\$22,810.00 + \$2,965.30 (HST)	
Total (including HST):	\$15,465.18	\$23,197.77	\$25,775.30	

Costs are claimed for the following lawyers:

George Benchetrit – 32 years – Hourly Rate \$850 / SI \$765 / PI \$510 David Im – 1 year – Hourly Rate \$350 / SI \$315 / PI \$210

Date	Lawyer	Activities	Hours	Partial Indemnity	Substantial Indemnity	Full Indemnity
07/11/2025	GBB	Telephone conferences with and memos to and from KSV, NRF, Loopstra, Cassels, Faskens, L Starr re July 11 court hearing, Sitero transaction closing issues, A Schachter offer, Sitero closing documents; preparation for and attendance at hearing before Justice Kimmel	4.50	\$2,295.00	\$3,442.50	\$3,825.00
07/11/2025	DIM	Serving the supplementary report of the proposed receiver and filing same; attending motion hearing; debrief with KSV and NRF;	2.40	\$504.00	\$756.00	\$840.00
07/12/2025	GBB	Memos to and from KSV, Loopstra re A Schachter offer; review of A Schachter offer	1.00	\$510.00	\$765.00	\$850.00
07/13/2025	GBB	Memos to and from and telephone conferences with KSV, McMillan, Loopstra, Fasken re Sitero and Schachter transactions, related issues; drafting court report language re review of sale process issues	4.20	\$2,142.00	\$3,213.00	\$3,570.00
07/14/2025	GBB	Telephone conferences with and memos to and from KSV, NRF, Faskens re July 14 court hearing, Sitero and Schachter transaction closing issues; review of and revisions to draft KSV report; drafting aide memoire for July 15 hearing; review of second Schachter affidavit; preparation for hearing before Justice Cavanagh	4.50	\$2,295.00	\$3,442.50	\$3,825.00

07/14/2025	DIM	Preparing an Aide Memoire; correspondence with G. Benchetrit; call with G. Benchetrit to discuss Aide Memoire;	2.30	\$483.00	\$724.50	\$805.00
07/15/2025	DIM	Revising the draft orders; preparing for the motion and attending same;	1.70	\$357.00	\$535.50	\$595.00
07/15/2025	GBB	Finalizing draft orders; preparation for and attendance at hearing before Justice Cavanagh; telephone conferences with and memos to and from KSV, NRF, Faskens re closing transaction, employee issues	5.50	\$2,805.00	\$4,207.50	\$4,675.00
08/19/2025	GBB	Preparing and finalizing letter to LN re cost award against A. Schachter	2.5	\$1,275.00	\$1,912.50	\$2,125.00
08/25/2025	GBB	Preparing and finalizing letter to Justice Cavanagh and cost submissions re cost award against A. Schachter	2.0	\$1,020.00	\$1,530.00	\$1,700.00
Subtotal			30.6	\$13,686.00	\$20,529.00	\$22,810.00
HST				\$1,779.18	\$2,668.77	\$2,965.30
Totals				\$15,465.18	\$23,197.77	\$25,775.30

### LAWYER'S CERTIFICATE

I CERTIFY that the hours claimed have been spent, that the rates shown are correct and that each disbursement has been incurred as claimed.

Date: August 27, 2025

George Benchetrit, Chaitons LLP

### NATIONAL BANK OF CANADA

**Applicant** 

-and-

### AXIOM REAL-TIME METRICS INC.

Respondent

Court File No.: CV-25-00746939-00CL

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

Proceedings commenced at TORONTO

### SUMMARY OF DOCKETS

### **CHAITONS LLP**

5000 Yonge Street, 10th Floor Toronto, ON M2N 7E9

### George Benchetrit (LSO #34163H)

Tel: (416) 218-1141

Email: <a href="mailto:george@chaitons.com">george@chaitons.com</a>

# TAB C

### KSV Restructuring Inc. Axiom Real-Time Metrics Inc.

### Time Summary Schachter Matters

Personnel	Title	Rate (\$)	Hours	Amount (\$)
Bobby Kofman	Managing Director	925	17.10	15,817.50
Jason Knight	Managing Director	700	25.40	17,780.00
Tony Trifunovic	Manager	500	9.80	4,900.00
Other staff and administrative			0.50	112.50
Total fees				38,610.00
			Fees	38,610.00
			HST	5,019.30
				43,629.30

**Applicant** 

-and-

### AXIOM REAL-TIME METRICS INC.

Respondent

Court File No.: CV-25-00746939-00CL

# ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

Proceedings commenced at TORONTO

### COST SUBMISSIONS OF THE RECEIVER

### CHAITONS LLP

5000 Yonge Street, 10th Floor Toronto, ON M2N 7E9

### George Benchetrit (LSO #34163H)

Tel: (416) 218-1141

Email: george@chaitons.com